

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,195	03/29/2001	Timothy C. Loose	47079-00086 4522	
30223	7590 12/16/2003	EXAMINER		NER
	& GILCHRIST, P.C. WASHINGTON	WHITE, CARMEN D		
	SUITE 2600			PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606	3714	1 1	
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/821,1	95	LOOSE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Carmen I		3714				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repeply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no expending the state of the sta	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>29 September</u>	<u>2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			•				
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
,	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claimal All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the International See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claimal ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claimal ference was included in the first see the street was included in the street was include	ty documents have be by documents have be s of the priority docum- tional Bureau (PCT Ru- tion for a list of the cer of for domestic priority of the din the first sentence anguage provisional and for domestic priority of	en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receive ale 17.2(a)). tified copies not receive under 35 U.S.C. § 119(the of the specification of application has been receive under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmen	it(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/821,195

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Heidel** et al (5,342,047) in view of **Bruzzese** (EP 0 789 338).

Regarding claims 1-2 and 6-8, Heidel teaches a gaming machine controlled by a processor in response to a wager, said gaming machine comprising a display including a video portion (Fig. 1, #12) and a non-video portion (Fig. 1, #34); and a touch screen overlapping the video portion; said video portion including player-selectable first indicia selectable via said touch screen and the non-video portion including permanent player selectable second indicia selectable via the touch screen (abstract; Fig. 1). While Heidel teaches a gaming machine with video and non-video portions and a touch screen overlapping the video portion of the machine, Heidel lacks disclosing a touch screen overlapping the non-video portion of the machine. In an analogous gaming machine, Bruzzese teaches the bonding of a touch panel to an existing non-video (mechanical reel portion) of a gaming machine (abstract; col. 1,ines 47-58). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the touch screen technology of Bruzzese over the non-video {electromechanical

Application/Control Number: 09/821,195

Art Unit: 3714

buttons} of Heidel in order to make the buttons easier to operate by making them touch sensitive. This would allow for quicker input by the players.

Regarding claims 3-5, Heidel and Bruzzese teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. Heidel further teaches the use of lights to illuminate the second indicia buttons (col. 3, lines 55-67). Heidel lacks the explicit disclosure of artwork on the non-video portion. Bruzzese teaches the feature of artwork on a non-video portion of a gaming machine (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include this feature of artwork, taught by Burzzese, in Heidel in order to make the gaming machine more aesthetically pleasing; thereby, attracting more players and increasing gaming profits.

Examiner's Response to Applicant's Remarks

Applicant argues that the electromechanical game control buttons are required by Heidel's invention and to eliminate them would render Heidel unsatisfactory for its intended purposes. The examiner disagrees with Applicant's assertion that the control buttons are required by Heidel. Heidel teaches that the electromechanical buttons or the touch screen can be used for input (col. 1, line 55). Therefore, Heidel is functionally capable of performing its intended purposes with or without the electromechanical buttons. Applicant further argues that the combination/modification of Heidel with Bruzzese changes Heidel's principle of operation. The examiner disagrees with this argument made by applicant. As mentioned above, Heidel does not need electromechanical input in order to operate (i.e. receive player controlled inputs for operating the gaming device). Further, since Heidel teaches the use of only touch

Application/Control Number: 09/821,195

Art Unit: 3714

screen input, if desired, it is clear that Heidel can operate with or without the buttons. Applicant argues that the references teach away from each other and that there is no motivation or suggestion to combine. Again, the examiner disagrees with this argument made by Applicant. Heidel and Bruzzese are both in the video gaming machine art and both teach the use of touch screen technology to modify existing slot machine features in order to enhance player input into the gaming machine. Therefore, the examiner has maintained the prior art rejection, above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

USPTO Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carmen D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-

5275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

OW cdw

> S. THOMAS HUGHES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700